	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/619,481	HANNA, TOM
	Examiner	**Art Unit**	
	Robert P. Swiatek	3643	

All Participants:

(1) _Robert P. Swiatek_.

(2) _Mr. Charles W. Fallow_.

Date of Interview: _29 June 2004_

Status of Application: _Allowed_

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: ‒

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant   ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes   ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
35 USC 102(b)

Claims discussed:
_1_

Prior art documents discussed:
Moeller (US 4,321,891)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant's representative agreed to amend claim 1 to recite a first end of the strip has only a single hole formed therein for receiving the pawl. See examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____   _____
(Examiner/SPE Signature)   (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)